Update 1

June 18, 2024

1. The lapsed time between the response to proposers' questions (July 8th) and response due date (July 11) is short, which makes it difficult to incorporate your responses to questions into our RFP response.

   Could the County make both the deadline for vendors to submit proposer questions and the deadline for you to respond to proposers' questions earlier?

[ANSWER]

The County is requesting approval from the Board of County Commissioners to extend the submission date to July 25th, 2024 with the following schedule changes:

Deadline for Proposer Questions	July 8, 2024 4:30 PM (PST)
Deadline for Proposer Letter of Intent	July 15, 2024 4:30 PM (PST)
Deadline for Response to Proposer Questions	July 18 , 2024 4:30 PM (PST)
Deadline for receiving Proposer's Proposal	July 25, 2024 3:30 PM (PST)

It is anticipated that the Resolution authorizing these changes will be signed by July 1st, 2024.

2. The RFP states that data conversion is not part of the project. Minimum requirement #5 under Section 6.6 states the system shall have the capability to upload data from Cayenta. RFP Section 12.1 Cost of Proposal includes data conversion. Please clarify and differentiate. We typically populate the database with data including historical budget and actual data, positions, employees, etc. If this isn't part of the project, data such as this will need to be uploaded or entered by the County. We recommend including it in the project.

[ANSWER]
The County does not intend to convert data for the purposes of managing it solely from the Financial Publishing system (FPS). We do have a need to map our financial data to the FPS proposed solution and would like the proposal to reflect professional services to assist the County in performing that mapping/interface. The County believes that it will be incumbent on us to actually move the data from our financial system to the provided FPS application. If this is not the case, please provide a detailed response in the Request for Proposal in requirement 6.6.5 System Interfaces and provide any anticipated costs into the Cost Proposal.

3. Can the County please clarify and elaborate on the following requirements:
   a. 6.15 n - The solution shall support the NAD83-2011 North Zone State Plane Coordinate System to display spatial data and the NAVD88 vertical datum for elevation data

[ANSWER]
If the solution includes geospatial content and capabilities that require the direct connection, sharing, export or import of spatial data to and/or from Skagit County's Geographic Information System (GIS), the solution will need to be compatible with the datums and coordinate systems used by the County's GIS.

b. 6.15 r - The solution shall provide a list of functionality required for each GIS data layer. For example, if an address point layer is required in the solution, what functionality does it provide?

[ANSWER]
For any GIS data layer required, please define how that layer is used in the solution. Understanding the use of the data may help determine the level of support needed. Using the address point layer example, the answer may look something like:
- Address points are symbolized on a map.
- Address points are labeled on a map.
- Addresses are queried from the solution against the address point layer.
- Addresses in the system are used to create mailing lists.